|  |
| --- |
| Response Form to the Consultation Paper |
| Guidelines for reporting under Articles 4 and 12 SFTR |

**Responding to this paper**

ESMA invites comments on all matters in this paper and in particular on the specific questions summarised in Annex III. Comments are most helpful if they:

* respond to the question stated;
* indicate the specific question to which the comment relates;
* contain a clear rationale; and
* describe any alternatives ESMA should consider.

ESMA will consider all comments received by **29 July 2019.**

All contributions should be submitted online at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading ‘Your input - Consultations’.

**Instructions**

In order to facilitate analysis of responses to the Consultation Paper, respondents are requested to follow the below steps when preparing and submitting their response:

1. Insert your responses to the questions in the Consultation Paper in the present response form.
2. Please do not remove tags of the type <ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_1>. Your response to each question has to be framed by the two tags corresponding to the question.
3. If you do not wish to respond to a given question, please do not delete it but simply leave the text “TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE” between the tags.
4. When you have drafted your response, name your response form according to the following convention: ESMA\_RSFTR\_nameofrespondent\_RESPONSEFORM. For example, for a respondent named ABCD, the response form would be entitled ESMA\_RSFTR\_ABCD\_RESPONSEFORM.
5. Upload the form containing your responses, in Word format, to ESMA’s website ([www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading “Your input – Open consultations” 🡪 “Consultation on Position limits and position management in commodities derivatives”).

**Publication of responses**

All contributions received will be published following the close of the consultation, unless you request otherwise. Please clearly and prominently indicate in your submission any part you do not wish to be publically disclosed. A standard confidentiality statement in an email message will not be treated as a request for non-disclosure. A confidential response may be requested from us in accordance with ESMA’s rules on access to documents. We may consult you if we receive such a request. Any decision we make not to disclose the response is reviewable by ESMA’s Board of Appeal and the European Ombudsman.

**Data protection**

Information on data protection can be found at [www.esma.europa.eu](http://www.esma.europa.eu) under the heading [Legal Notice](http://www.esma.europa.eu/legal-notice).

**Who should read this paper**

All interested stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation. In particular, responses are sought from financial and non-financial counterparties to securities financing transactions, tri-party agents, agent lenders, central counterparties (CCPs) and trade repositories (TRs), as well as from all the authorities having access to the TR data.

**General information about respondent**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of the company / organisation | Bloomberg L.P. |
| Activity | Data Provider |
| Are you representing an association? |  |
| Country/Region | United Kingdom |

**Introduction**

***Please make your introductory comments below, if any***

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_RSFTR\_1>

In addition to the responses to questions 37 and 41 below, we would like to offer the following comments:

In reference to the 'Jurisdiction of the issuer' fields (fields 53 and 92 of Table 2, Annex I, Commission Implementing Regulation 2019/363), there may be inconsistencies between some of the proposed Consolidated SFTR Validation Rules (ESMA70-151-1019) and the reporting instructions provided in Commission Delegated Regulation 2019/356, which mirror the FSB Standards and Processes for Global Securities Financing Data Collection and Aggregation, 18 November 2015 (the "FSB Standards").

Commission Delegated Regulation 2019/356 (Annex, Table 2) and the proposed Consolidated SFTR Validation Rules provide the following instructions regarding the 'Jurisdiction of the issuer' field: *'In case of securities issued by a foreign subsidiary, the jurisdiction of the ultimate parent company or, if not known, the jurisdiction of the subsidiary.'*

However, the proposed Consolidated SFTR Validation Rules also state that:

*'The country code provided in this field [Jurisdiction of the issuer] shall pertain to the country of the registered office of the issuer as specified in the LEI reference data.'*

There are cases in which the country code of the issuer reported in the LEI reference data may not take account of whether an issuer is a subsidiary or branch. For example, the issuer of ISIN XS1206541366 is Volkswagen International Finance N.V., a subsidiary of Volkswagen AG, based in the Netherlands. The LEI reference data reports country code 'NL' for this ISIN. However, under a strict interpretation of the proposed Consolidated SFTR Validation Rules, the country code should arguably be 'DE', in line with the entity's ultimate parent company (Volkswagen AG).

It would be helpful if clarification could be given on which standard should prevail in the event that the country code reported in the LEI database is not in accordance with the FSB Standards.

<ESMA\_COMMENT\_RSFTR\_1>

**Questions**

1. : Do you agree with the above assessment? Are there any other transactions for which clarification is needed? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_1>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_1>

1. : Do you agree with the approach set out for reporting of SFTs under Article 4 of SFTR as detailed above? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_2>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_2>

1. : Do you agree with the approach for reporting repos and reverse repos as detailed in this section? Please detail the reasons for your response

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_3>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_3>

1. : Are there any other types of repos and reverse repos transactions for which reporting needs to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_4>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_4>

1. : Are there any other aspects on reporting of master agreements or other elements of BSB/SBB that need to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_5>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_5>

1. : Do you foresee any issues relating to the non-availability of information on the counterparties and the securities by T+1? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_6>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_6>

1. : To what extent the SFTs that are cancelled and replaced bear price-forming information, i.e. does the cancellation imply an additional fee or price charged? If so, how can this information be better included in the reports? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_7>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_7>

1. : Which approach would you favour in terms of reporting cash-driven SLB? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_8>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_8>

1. : Do you agree with the proposal with regards to reporting of SFTs involving commodities? What other aspects should be clarified with regards to these SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_9>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_9>

1. : Are there any aspects that need to be clarified with regards to this type of SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_10>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_10>

1. : Do you agree with the proposal with regards to reporting of margin lending? What other aspects should be clarified with regards to these SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_11>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_11>

1. : Having in mind that position reporting of CCP-cleared SFTs is optional only when transaction-level reporting was made in accordance with paragraph 84, do you believe that additional clarifications need to be provided by ESMA? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_12>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_12>

1. : Do you agree with the approach regarding allocation of responsibility with regards to SFTs concluded between TC-FC and EU SME-NFC? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_13>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_13>

1. : Do you agree with the approach regarding allocation of responsibility with regards to UCITS management company and AIFM, established in third country? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_14>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_14>

1. : Do you agree with the approach for determining conclusion of SFTs by EU branches of non-EU entities? Are there any other instances in addition to the ones in paragraph 102 that would need to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_15>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_15>

1. : Is the proposed guidance for determining whether an SFT conducted by a branch needs to be reported clear and comprehensive? Which areas require further clarification? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_16>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_16>

1. : Is the proposed guidance for reporting of intragroup SFTs clear and comprehensive? Which areas require further clarification? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_17>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_17>

1. : Do you agree with the approach for reporting by NFCs? Is there any additional aspect relating to reporting by NFCs that needs to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_18>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_18>

1. : Do you agree with the proposal for reporting conclusion of SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_19>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_19>

1. : Do you agree with the proposal for reporting modifications to SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_20>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_20>

1. : Do you agree with the proposal for reporting collateral updates to SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_21>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_21>

1. : Do you have any issues with reporting in a timely manner valuation, margin and reuse updates pertaining to SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_22>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_22>

1. : Do TRs require additional guidance in relation to how reports submitted by the entities mentioned in Article 2(2) and (3) of SFTR should be treated and the relevant procedures to follow? If so please confirm where further guidance is required.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_23>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_23>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed rules for reporting of field 1.17? Are there any other instances that would need to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your answer.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_24>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_24>

1. : Do you consider proposal A or proposal B to be the most efficient way to ensure that details of SFTs are reported accurately, and why? What would be the costs and benefits of each approach? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_25>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_25>

1. : Do you agree with the sequences proposed? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_26>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_26>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed mapping between business events and action types? Are there any additional business events that should be included? Please detail the reasons for your answer.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_27>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_27>

1. : Are there any other relationships that would need to be defined? If so, please detail which ones.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_28>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_28>

1. : Is there any aspect not covered by the ITS on reporting that would require further clarification? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_29>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_29>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting of counterparty side in the case of CCP-cleared SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_30>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_30>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach to determine which side of a transaction is the collateral provider and which is the collateral taker for unsecured lending/borrowing of securities? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_31>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_31>

1. : Please indicate how frequently is a haircut, margin or any other type of discount/add-on, applied to the loan side of SLB?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_32>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_32>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_33>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_33>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_34>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_34>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach on timing and use of FX rates? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_35>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_35>

1. : Does ESMA need to provide additional guidance on the reporting of the valuation fields? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_36>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_36>

1. : Do you have any remarks concerning the reporting of CFI? What other aspects need to be clarified to ensure that reporting is consistently performed? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_37>

The availability of official CFI codes assigned by national numbering agencies (NNAs) has improved as a result of MiFID II but there remain significant gaps in the market, affecting both instruments with ISIN codes and those without. Inaccuracies in the classifications assigned have also been observed.

The absence of official NNA CFI codes is likely to be a challenge for market participants and clarification on the action to be taken when an official NNA CFI is not available may be beneficial.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_37>

1. : Do you agree with the approach for back-loading? What other aspects have to be considered to make the reporting of backloaded SFTs more efficient for counterparties and TRs, i.e. the costs of this approach are minimised and also the usefulness of the reports submitted going forward is maximised? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_38>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_38>

1. : What other aspects with regards to the UTI have to be clarified? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_39>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_39>

1. : Are there any other instances that need to be clarified? Please elaborate on the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_40>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_40>

1. : Please provide the relative volume of transactions for which issuer’s LEI (of securities used as collateral) or ISIN is not available in principle.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_41>

LEI coverage has increased as a result of MiFID II but significant gaps remain, particularly for the issuers of securities. As a data provider, Bloomberg can make the following observations regarding the availability of issuer LEIs across its universe of securities (data as of July 24th 2019):

Total universe of debt issuers (public and private): 139,138

All debt issuers with an LEI: 41,621

Debt issuers with active debt and which have an LEI: 21,638

All debt issuers with no LEI: 97,517

Debt issuers with active debt and which do not have an LEI: 36,694

Total equity issuers: 62,801

Equity issuers with an LEI: 19,690

Equity issuers with no LEI: 43,111

Please note that "active debt" refers to the fact that an issuer has outstanding debt instruments (i.e. which are not paid-off) at the time of collecting the data above.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_41>

1. : Do you agree with this approach? What other aspects need to be considered? Please elaborate on the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_42>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_42>

1. : Do you believe there are other use cases that need to be further defined in this subsection? Do you agree with the applicability of those use cases to the different types of SFTs as outlined above? Please detail the reasons for your answers.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_43>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_43>

1. : Do you agree with the population of the counterparty data fields? Please detail the reasons for your response and indicate the table to which your comments refer.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_44>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_44>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting action types? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_45>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_45>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting event date? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_46>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_46>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting clearing? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_47>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_47>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting trading venue field? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_48>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_48>

1. : Do you have any remarks or questions concerning the reporting of master agreements? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_49>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_49>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting conclusion and beginning of an SFT? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_50>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_50>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting term of the SFT? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_51>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_51>

1. : Do you see any issues with the approach to reporting termination optionality? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_52>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_52>

1. : Which of these approaches do you favour for reporting general and specific collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_53>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_53>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting collateral arrangements? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_54>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_54>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting fixed and floating rates of SFTs? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_55>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_55>

1. : Do you see any issues with the approach to reporting repo and BSB/SBB principal amounts? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_56>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_56>

1. : Do you agree with the approach regarding reporting fields 2.51 and 2.90? Please elaborate on the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_57>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_57>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting securities on loan? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_58>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_58>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting SFTs involving commodities? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_59>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_59>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting cash rebate SLBs? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_60>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_60>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting non-cash collateral SLBs? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_61>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_61>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting margin loan data? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_62>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_62>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting collateralisation? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_63>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_63>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting cash collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_64>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_64>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_65>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_65>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for calculating collateral haircuts or margin? Please provide justification for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_66>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_66>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting collateral type field? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_67>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_67>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting Availability for collateral reuse? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_68>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_68>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting fields Identification of security and LEI of issuer? Are you aware of instances where securities provided as collateral do not have an ISIN? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_69>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_69>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting plain vanilla bonds as collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_70>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_70>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting perpetual bonds as collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_71>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_71>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting main index equities as collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_72>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_72>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting variation margining with additional provision of securities by the collateral provider? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_73>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_73>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting variation margining with return of the same securities to collateral provider? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_74>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_74>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting variation margining with return of different securities to the collateral provider? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_75>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_75>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting prepaid collateral? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_76>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_76>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting portfolio code? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_77>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_77>

1. : Do you agree with the approach to reporting margin data? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_78>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_78>

1. : Do you have any comments on the scope of the non-cash collateral re-use measure, and are there practical obstacles to the reporting? In the case of margin lending, do you agree with the exclusion of securities that cannot be transferred to the prime broker’s account due to rehypothecation limits agreed contractually?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_79>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_79>

1. : Do you have any comments on cash collateral reinvestment, and do you agree with the scope?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_80>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_80>

1. : Do you agree with the proposed approach for reporting reuse, reinvestment and funding sources? Please detail the reasons for your response and include a reference to the specific table.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_81>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_81>

1. : What other aspects need to be considered with regards to the aforementioned approach with regards to treatment of rejection feedback? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_82>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_82>

1. : What other aspects need to be considered with regards to the aforementioned approach with regards to treatment of reconciliation feedback? Please detail the reasons for your response.

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_83>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_83>

1. : What other aspects need to be considered to make the process more efficient? Please elaborate on the reasons for your response?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_84>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_84>

1. : Do you have any comments on the aforementioned practicalities relating to the provision of access to SFT data to authorities? What other aspects need to be considered to make the process more efficient? Please elaborate on the reasons for your response?

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_85>

TYPE YOUR TEXT HERE

<ESMA\_QUESTION\_RSFTR\_85>